Arginine52 Controls the Photoisomerization Process in Photoactive Yellow Protein
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Photoactive yellow protein (PYP) is believed to be the primary photoreceptor for the photo avoidance response of the salt-tolerant bacterium Halorhodospira halophila. PYP contains a deprotonated 4-hydroxy-cinnamic acid (or p-coumaric acid, pca) chromophore linked covalently to the γ-sulfur of Cys69 via a thioester bond (Figure 1).1 Upon absorbing a blue-light photon, PYP enters a fully reversible photocycle involving several intermediates on a time scale spanning from a few hundred femtoseconds to seconds.2 In previous work we have used mixed quantum/classical (QM/MM) molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to reveal the detailed sequence of structural changes that follows photoabsorption in wild-type PYP.3 The first step is the trans-to-cis photoisomerization of the chromophore, followed by a flip of the thioester carbonyl group by 180°. We found that in the protein environment the isomerization is enhanced by a preferential electrostatic stabilization of the chromophore’s excited state by the guanidinium group of Arg52, located just above the negatively charged chromophore ring. However, mutants in which the arginine has been replaced by an electronically neutral amino acid can still enter the photocycle, albeit with a lower rate and quantum yield.4 These findings indicate that Arg52 is important but not essential for photoactivation.

To elucidate the role of this arginine in the activation process in more detail, we have performed a new series of excited-state dynamics simulations of the Arg52Gln (R52Q) mutant of PYP (entry 2D02 of the PDB).5 The results of these simulations demonstrate that in the mutant, the primary events after photoexcitation are different from those in the wild-type. First, the predominant excited-state decay channel in the mutant involves isomerization of a single bond in the chromophore (C1—C2, Figure 2), rather than the double bond (C2=C3). Although single bond isomerization does not result in the formation of a new chromophore isomer, a 180° flip of the thioester carbonyl oxygen atom was observed in 7 out of 40 trajectories. Together with the experimental observation that the mutant is photoactive, this suggests that the crucial event in the photoactivation is the oxygen flip, rather than the double bond isomerization. The second difference is that the photochemical process is slower in the mutant than in the wild-type, in agreement with recent measurements.6

As in our previous work, QM/MM MD simulations were carried out at the CASSCF(6,6)/3-21G level of theory, with diabatic surface hopping between the excited (S1) and ground state (S0) potential energy surfaces. In total, 40 excited-state simulations were initiated from different frames of a 250 ps ground state-trajectory (see Supporting Information for details). In these simulations, an excited-state lifetime between 155 and 1438 fs was observed (Supporting Information, Table S1, third column). A simple exponential fit yields a fluorescence decay time of τ = 400 ± 100 fs. The fluorescence lifetime is longer in the R52Q mutant than in the wild-type simulations7 (τ = 200 fs), a difference that was also observed in recent experiments.8

In contrast to wild-type PYP, the photoisomerization takes place predominantly around the single C1—C2 bond rather than the double C2=C3 bond. Figure 2 shows a plot of the torsion angles in the tail of the chromophore during a single bond photoisomerization trajectory. There are three distinct phases: (I) evolution on S0, (II) excitation and evolution on S1, and (III) decay to S0 at the surface crossing followed by evolution on the S0 surface. Immediately after the excitation, the chromophore decays (via bond length relaxation) from the Franck-Condon region into a nearby local trans minimum on S1. After 0.3 ps in S1, a barrier separating this local minimum from a twisted S1 minimum is crossed and the chromophore relaxes further (via rotation of the single C1—C2 bond (torsion α, Figure 2, black line)) by 90° into a twisted S1 minimum conformation. Concerted rotation takes place around the C2—S0 bond (Figure 2, yellow line). These simultaneous rotations in opposite directions avoid a large out-of-plane motion of the phenoxy ring. During the process, the hydrogen bond network connecting the chromophore’s phenoxy atom (O3) to Tyr42 and Glu46 remains intact (Figure 1). On average, it takes about 300 fs to cross the barrier and reach the twisted minimum. This activation barrier involves breaking the hydrogen bond between the chromophore’s carbonyl C1=O3 and the Cys69 backbone amino group upon rotation of the C2—S0 bond.

The system oscillates around the single-bond twisted minimum until the surface crossing seam is encountered and a surface hop takes the system back to the ground state. It takes more time to reach the conical intersection hyperplane in the mutant. The S1/S0 seam lies higher in energy and is therefore more difficult to reach than in the wild-type, in which passage of the seam is facilitated due to electrostatic stabilization of the excited-state charge distribution by the positively charged guanidinium group of Arg52.

In 32 out of 40 simulations (80%), the broken hydrogen bond between the carbonyl C1=O3 and the Cys69 backbone amino group is reformed quickly after the radiationless transition, leading to the original ground-state configuration. In eight trajectories (20%), this hydrogen bond is not restored. Instead, the carbonyl group flips over completely to the other side of the chromophore after the hop to S0 (Figure 2). Figure 1c shows the geometry of the active site after this carbonyl flip. Because of the concerted bond rotations (see above), the excited-state dynamics of the carbonyl flip resembles the bicycle pedal mechanism7 with the C1—C2 bond forming the crank axis and the C2—C3 and C2—S0 bonds the pedals.
In one of the simulations, a double bond (C2=C3) isomerization was observed. As in the wild-type, this isomerization also causes the hydrogen bond between C1=O1 and N−H to break. This observation demonstrates that in the mutant the double bond photoisomerization channel is still accessible, albeit with a much lower probability than the single bond isomerization. Animations are available as Supporting Information.

Statistically the number of trajectories is small but nevertheless yields a consistent picture of the role of Arg52 on the photoisomerization process in PYP. Arg52 controls which bond isomerizes upon photoexcitation. Without its positive charge in the vicinity of the chromophore, the isomerization involving single bond rotation is favored over double bond isomerization. This observation is supported by the recent coupled-cluster gas-phase computations of Gromov et al.8 The charge distributions of the single and double bond twisted S1 minima are different. In the single bond twisted structure, there is a net negative charge on the alkene moiety of the chromophore, whereas in the double bond twisted structure, the negative charge is located on the phenolate ring. Thus, in the wild-type protein environment, where the positive charge of Arg52 is located right above the phenolate, the double bond twisted S1 minimum is electrostatically stabilized relative to the single bond twisted structure. In contrast, the lack of this positive charge in the mutant resembles the situation in vacuum, where single bond rotation is preferred. Furthermore, as Gromov et al. have also shown, the gap between S1 and S0 is smaller in the double bond than in the single bond twisted minimum, accounting for the slower excited-state decay observed for the mutant.

The flip of the carbonyl (C1=O1) leads to an intermediate that remains stable for nanoseconds in subsequent force-field simulations. We therefore suggest that this flip and the concomitant break of the hydrogen bond between the carbonyl oxygen of the chromophore and the backbone amino group is the crucial step in the photoactivation of PYP. Rupture of this hydrogen bond has also been suggested by others to be the key step to enter the photocycle. Furthermore, the experimentally observed quantum yield of the R52Q mutant (21 ± 8%) matches closely to the carbonyl flip quantum yield in our simulations (20% including the flip caused by the double bond isomerization).

Thus, we think that both single and double bond photoisomerization can lead to a photoreactive intermediate that is required to enter the photocycle. The efficiency of the double bond isomerization in the wild-type (30%) is higher than that of the single bond in the mutant (20%), highlighting the importance of Arg52 for the photoactivation. Furthermore, the arginine also reduces the excited-state lifetime, suggesting it could play a role in photoprotection as well. How the broken hydrogen bond between C1=O1 and the Cys69 aminogroup eventually leads to large-scale conformational changes in PYP is still an open question. However, addressing this issue is a formidable task that goes beyond the scope of the current work.
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